



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Andres M. Perez	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,258		02/26/2002		SMQ-083		
959	7590	11/30/2005		EXAMINER		
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP. 28 STATE STREET				BENGZON, GREG C		
BOSTON, MA 02109				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			·	2144		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/085,258	PEREZ, ANDRES M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Greg Bengzon	2144		

	Greg Bengzon	2144								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she	et with the corresp	ondence addi	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.										
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an ar otice of Appeal (with a liance with 37 CFR 1.1	nendment, affidavit, opeal fee) in compli	or other evide ance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or						
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).										
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amo atutory period for reply orig	unt of the fee. The app mally set in the final Off	ropriate extensio ice action; or (2)	n fee ûnder 37 as set forth in (b)						
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 C be filed within the time	FR 41.37(e)), to avo	oid dismissal o 7 CFR 41.37(a	of the appeal. a).						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);										
appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		r of finally rejected o	claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ce of Non-Compliar	it Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·	,							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	illowable if submitted i	n a separate, timely	filed amendm	ent canceling						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:										
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .										
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-28</u> .										
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:										
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).										
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections	under appeal and/o	or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the	claims after entry is	below or attac	hed.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the	application in condi	tion for allowa	nce because:						
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-	1449) Paper No(s).	,							
	/	V								
DAVID WILEY, SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER										
	SUF	ERVISORY PATENT	EXAMINEN							
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Advisory Action Before	the Filing of an Appeal	ECHNOLOGY CENT	Part of Pa	per No. 20051124						
AB										
ge										

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendments are not sufficient to overcome the prior art. See attached sheets.

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been examined. Claims 1-28 are pending.

Priority

The effective date of the claims described in this application is February 26, 2002.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/08/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant presents the following argument(s) [in italics]:

"... although DLL may be considered as a plug-in, it is not an application".

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant. DLL modules provide functionality in order to execute a series of tasks, as do applications. The HMMOs provide rendering capabilities for the client browser. (Column 5 Lines 7-10)

The Applicant presents the following argument(s) [in italics]:

"...HMMO is an object and not to be considered an application..."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant. In Column 4 Lines 8-10 Hemphill disclosed HMMOs may operate as self-describing web agents, said agents

Art Unit: 2144

being well known the art as also providing functionality in order to execute a series of tasks, as do applications.

The Applicant presents the following argument(s) [in italics]:

"... Hemphill does not disclose the registration of these plug-in modules with the HMMA"

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant. The HMMOs reside in HMMDs which are managed by the HMMA. In Column 4 Lines 8-10 Hemphill disclosed that HMMOs provide registration. It would have been well known in the art that plug-ins are required to register with the management server's operating system in order to be recognized.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 20030135657 A1 Barker, Kevin S. et al. - method for converting management models to specific console interfaces

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Bengzon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3944. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,258 Page 4

Art Unit: 2144

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (571)272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/gcb

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100